



Holmer Green Senior School  
Internal Appeals Policy

March 2019

## Appeals procedure against internally assessed marks

Certain components of GCSE, GCE and other qualifications that contribute to the final grade of the qualification are internally assessed (marked) by the centre. The marks awarded (the internal assessment decisions) are then submitted by the deadline set by the awarding body for external moderation.

Holmer Green Senior School (HGSS) is committed to ensuring that whenever its staff mark candidates' work this is done fairly, consistently and in accordance with the awarding body's specification and subject-specific associated documents.

HGSS ensures that all staff follow a robust Non-Examination Assessment policy (for the management of GCE and GCSE non-examination assessments). This policy details all procedures relating to non-examination assessments for GCE, GCSE and Project qualifications, including the marking and quality assurance processes which relevant teaching staff are required to follow.

Candidates' work will be marked by staff who have appropriate knowledge, understanding and skill, and who have been trained in this activity. HGSS is committed to ensuring that work produced by candidates is authenticated in line with the requirements of the awarding body. Where a number of subject teachers are involved in marking candidates' work, internal moderation and standardisation will ensure consistency of marking.

On being informed of their centre assessed marks, if a candidate believes that the above procedures were not followed in relation to the marking of his/her work, or that the assessor has not properly applied the mark scheme to his/her marking, then he/she may make use of the appeals procedure below to consider whether to request a review of the centre's marking.

### **N.B: an appeal may only be made against the assessment process and not against the mark to be submitted to the awarding body**

HGSS will:

1. Ensure that candidates are informed of their centre assessed marks so that they may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body.
2. Inform candidates that they may request copies of materials (for example, a copy of their marked work, the relevant specification, the mark scheme and any other associated subject-specific documents) to assist them in considering whether to request a review of the centre's marking of the assessment.
3. Having received a request for copies of materials, promptly make them available to the candidate within **2 working days**.
4. Provide candidates with sufficient time in order to allow them to review copies of materials and reach a decision.
5. Provide a clear deadline for candidates to submit a request for a review of the centre's marking. Requests will not be accepted after this deadline. Requests must be made in writing within **3 calendar days** of receiving copies of the requested materials by completing the **internal appeals form**.
6. Allow **5 working days** for the review to be carried out, to make any necessary changes to marks and to inform the candidate of the outcome, all before the awarding body's deadline.
7. Ensure that the review of marking is carried out by an assessor who has appropriate competence, has had no previous involvement in the assessment of that candidate and has no personal interest in the review.

8. Instruct the reviewer to ensure that the candidate's mark is consistent with the standard set by the centre.

9. Inform the candidate in writing of the outcome of the review of the centre's marking.

The outcome of the review of the centre's marking will be made known to the head of centre. A written record of the review will be kept and made available to the awarding body upon request. The moderation process carried out by the awarding bodies may result in a mark change, either upwards or downwards, even after an internal review. The internal review process is in place to ensure consistency of marking within the centre, whereas moderation by the awarding body ensures that centre marking is in line with national standards. The mark submitted to the awarding body is subject to change and should therefore be considered provisional.

### **Appeals procedure against centre decisions not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal.**

Following the issue of results awarding bodies make post-results services available. Full details of these services, internal deadlines for requesting a service and fees charged are provided by the exams officer.

If the centre or a candidate (or his/her parent/carer) has a concern and believes a result may not be accurate, a review of the result may be requested.

*Reviews of Results (RoRs)* offers three services.

- ▶ Service 1 – clerical re-check
- ▶ Service 2 – review of marking
- ▶ Service 3 – review of moderation (this service is not available to an individual candidate)

Written candidate consent is required in all cases before a request for a RoR service 1 or 2 is submitted to the awarding body as with these services candidates' marks and subject grades may be lowered.

If a concern is raised about a particular examination result, based on the belief that there has been an error in marking, the exams officer, teaching staff and head of centre will investigate the feasibility of requesting a review at the centre's expense.

When the centre does not uphold a request from a candidate, the candidate may pay the appropriate fee, and a request will be made to the awarding body on the candidate's behalf.

If the candidate (or their parent/carer) believes there are grounds to appeal against the centre's decision not to support a review, an internal appeal can be submitted to the centre, in writing, at least **one week prior to** the internal deadline for submitting a request for a review.

The candidate will be informed of the outcome of his/her appeal before the internal deadline for submitting a RoR.

### **Appeals procedure following the outcome of an enquiry about results**

Following the RoR outcome, an external appeals process is available if the head of centre remains dissatisfied after receiving the outcome and believes there are grounds for appeal. The JCQ publications *Post-results services* and *JCQ Appeals Booklet (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes)* will be consulted to determine the acceptable grounds for a preliminary appeal.

Where the head of centre is satisfied after receiving the RoR outcome, but the candidate (or their parent/carer) believes there are grounds for a preliminary appeal to the awarding body, a further internal appeal may be made to the head of centre. Following this, the head of centre's decision as to whether to proceed with a preliminary appeal will be based upon the acceptable grounds as detailed in the *JCQ Appeals Booklet*. Candidates or parents/carers are not permitted to make direct representations to an awarding body.

An internal appeals form should be submitted to the centre within **10 calendar days** of the notification of the outcome of the RoR. Subject to the head of centre's decision, this will allow the centre to process the preliminary appeal and submit to the awarding body within the required 30 calendar days of receiving the outcome of the review of the results process. Awarding body fees which may be charged for the preliminary appeal must be paid to the centre by the appellant before the preliminary appeal is submitted to the awarding body. If the appeal is upheld by the awarding body, this fee will be refunded by the awarding body and repaid to the appellant by the centre.

## **Further guidance to inform and implement appeals procedures**

### **JCQ publications**

- ▶ *General Regulations for Approved Centres*  
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/general-regulations>
- ▶ *Post-Results Services*  
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/post-results-services>
- ▶ *JCQ Appeals Booklet*  
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/appeals>
- ▶ *Notice to Centres - Reviews of marking (centre assessed marks)*  
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/coursework>  
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments>
- ▶ *Notice to Centres - informing candidates of their centre assessed marks*  
<https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments>

Approved at Governors: March 2019

To be reviewed: March 2021

]

**Internal appeals form**

FOR CENTRE USE ONLY	
Date received	

- Appeal against an internal assessment decision and/or request for a review of marking
- Appeal against the centre’s decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

Name of appellant		Candidate name if different to appellant	
Awarding body		Exam paper code	
Subject		Exam paper title	

**Please state the grounds for your appeal below**

*(If applicable, tick below)*

- Where my appeal is against an internal assessment decision I wish to request a review of the centre’s marking  
*If necessary, continue on an additional page if this form is being completed electronically or overleaf if hard copy being completed*

Appellant signature:

Date of signature:

**This form must be signed, dated and returned to the exams officer on behalf of the head of centre to the timescale indicated in the relevant appeals procedure**